



Office of the Auditor-General

Procurement Reference No: G/ONB/04-03/2022

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION

REPORT

SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF TONER

CARTRIDGES

Office of the Auditor-General,
P/Bag 13299, Windhoek,
Tel.061-285 8504
Email: pmu@oag.gov.na

Executive Summary of Bid Evaluation Report

Scope of Contract	Supply and Delivery of Toner Cartridges
Procurement method used	Open National Bidding
Procurement number	G/ONB/04-03/2022
Period	36 Months (3 years)
Date of invitation of bids	04 August 2022
Closing date of submission of bids	05 September 2022
Date and opening	05 September 2022
Bid evaluation date	10 October 2022
Number of bids received	56

No	Bidder name	Price	Responsive as per evaluation stage			Reasons why bidder is not responsive
			Mandatory Documents	Technical Experience	Price Evaluation and comparison	
1	Blue Sky Technology	55 148.25	No	No	Not considered	No bank confirmation letter and Identification document
2	GHG Trading	76 311.70	No	No	Not considered	No bank confirmation letter
3	Namibia Computer Technology	59 012.25	No	No	Not considered	Identification document no certified

No	Bidder name	Price	Responsive as per evaluation stage			Reasons why bidder is not responsive
			Mandatory Documents	Technical Experience	Price Evaluation and comparison	
4	Welton Investment	57 453.38	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
5	Balata Investment	73 008.50	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
6	Lithlum Trading	55 267.51	Yes	No	Not considered	No working experience, No financial certificate, No technical capacity.
7	Brand Excellence	59 274.11	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
8	Gee & Sons Trading	59 755.50	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
9	Pearl Energy	64 287.01	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
10	Ilawalya Investment	116 860.00	Yes	No	Not considered	No working experience, No financial certificate, No technical capacity.
11	DERA	42 850.00	Yes	Yes	Considered	Most responsive bidder
12	Fresh Unit Investment	56 402.57	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
13	Atai Investment	61 431.85	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
14	Star Comments	56 721.00	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification

No	Bidder name	Price	Responsive as per evaluation stage			Reasons why bidder is not responsive
			Mandatory Documents	Technical Experience	Price Evaluation and comparison	
15	Leandro Investment	83 072.80	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
16	Tekmak Investment	60 409.50	No	No	Not considered	No bank confirmation letter, No Identification document
17	August 7 Investment	69 253.00	No	No	Not considered	No Identification document
18	Cancuun Investment	61 352.04	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
19	Mattishine	54 800.00	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
20	Four U Investment	86 438.92	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
21	Ekwakuti	78 017.35	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
22	Penit Investment	50 363.10	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
23	Kenny Shipanda cc	91 166.10	Yes	No	Not considered	No working experience, No financial certificate, No technical capacity.
24	Parmena Investment	59 440.50	Yes	No	Not considered	No working experience, No financial certificate, No technical capacity.
25	Otus Investment	61 620.98	No	No	Not considered	No bank confirmation letter

No	Bidder name	Price	Responsive as per evaluation stage			Reasons why bidder is not responsive
			Mandatory Documents	Technical Experience	Price Evaluation and comparison	
26	Picus Trading	48 679.75	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
27	African Brands Consulting	62 170.32	No	No	Not considered	No Namibian bona fide letter
28	Igloo Investment	67 643.00	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
29	Urgecentum Investent cc	61 062.09	No	No	Not considered	No bank confirmation letter
30	Praise Investment	3 817 078.00	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
31	Moonstrone suppliers	12 992.55	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered because it's way beyond the threshold
32	Ostech Investment	78 669.00	Yes	No	Not considered	No working experience, No financial certificate, No technical capacity.
33	Sampeka	98 690.70	Yes	No	Not considered	No working experience, No financial certificate, No technical capacity.
34	Virtua Technology	63 021.36	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
35	Kuna Mbili	62 082.98	No	No	Not considered	Good standing certificates are copies and they are not certified

No	Bidder name	Price	Responsive as per evaluation stage			Reasons why bidder is not responsive
			Mandatory Documents	Technical Experience	Price Evaluation and comparison	
36	Oipana Investment	73 088.23	Yes	No	Not considered	No working experience, No financial certificate, No technical capacity
37	Indaba Investment	69 920.08	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
38	Waltons	67 197.00	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
39	Abbrie Genenis	62 857.85	No	No	Not considered	No Identification document
40	Rendezvous	67 941.85	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
41	Namibia Office Equipment	62 962.53	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
42	Sytech Investment	67 846.48	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
43	PTN Trading	66 021.16	No	No	Not considered	No Equity Commission letter
44	Gadgets Namibia	61 708.15	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
45	Omsilo Trading	91 250.87	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification

No	Bidder name	Price	Responsive as per evaluation stage			Reasons why bidder is not responsive
			Mandatory Documents	Technical Experience	Price Evaluation and comparison	
46	July 8 Investment	78 973.75	No	No	Not considered	No Namibian bona fide letter, No Identification document, No bank confirmation letter, No Equity Commission letter
47	Shiketanga	95 256.00	No	No	Not considered	No bank confirmation letter
48	Wangwali Global Trading	51 922.50	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
49	!Nu #Nanis	55 174.92	No	No	Not considered	No bank confirmation letter
50	TNU Supplies	57 497.93	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
51	Mi Lea Investment	75 515.84	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
52	Nangu - Line	54 979.22	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
53	Monica Nkulu Investment	83 854.29	Yes	No	Not considered	No working experience, No financial certificate, No technical capacity
54	Be Tech Investment	54 028.01	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
55	Uunnongo IT Solutions	53 739.95	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification
56	Wiseman	64 988.34	Yes	Yes	Not considered	Price not considered, not lowest offer to specification

Price for bids that are substantially responsive:

No.	Name	Price at Bid Opening	Rank	Reason
11	DERA Investment cc	N\$ 42,850.00	1	Lowest evaluated substantially responsive bid which meet all the criteria's

1. METHODS OF EVALUATON AND SELECTION

The bids were examined and evaluated in three (3) stages namely Eligibility Criteria, Qualifying Criteria and Bid pricing Criteria. Through this method technical proposal of all were considered and selected accordingly.

2. BEST EVALUATED BID

On the basis of the evaluation which was carried out it is recommended that the bid be awarded to **DERA Investment cc** which submitted the lowest evaluated substantially responsive bid which meet all the criteria's for the amount of **N\$ 42,850.00** for the supply and delivery of Toner Cartridges to the Office of the Auditor-General for a period of 36 months (3years).